Profile of Appellate and Trial Judges

INFORMATION AS OF SEPTEMBER 1, 1996

	Supreme Court	Court of Criminal Appeals	Courts o Appeals			Courts	Probate	County Courts	Justice of the Peace Courts		
NUMBER OF JUDGES: Number of judge positions Number of judges Number of vacant positions Cities with judges Cities with no judges	9 9 0	9 9 0	80 79 1	385 385 0	10 10 0	172 170 2	19 19 0	254 254 0	839 839 0	*1,207 *1,152 16 844 278	
AGE OF JUDGES: Number with age data Mean Oldest Youngest	9 50 65 38	9 53 73 41	79 53 71 37	385 51 73 31	10 49 65 39	160 48 76 31	19 54 70 40	242 55 85 32	747 55 88 24	883 54 91 23	
RANGE OF AGE: Under 25 25 through 34 35 through 34 45 through 54 55 through 54 65 through 64 65 through 74 75 through 84 Over 85	0 0 3 2 1 0 0	0 0 3 1 2 0 0	0 0 18 29 21 11 0 0	0 3 84 180 80 38 0 0	0 3 4 2 1 0 0	0 4 58 59 26 11 2 0	0 0 2 10 2 5 0 0	0 4 36 86 70 44 1 1	1 25 107 228 226 133 25 2	2 45 166 286 204 129 42 9	
	9 Yr 5 Mo 7 Yr		79 ⁄r 5 Mo ⁄r 8 Mo	385 8 Yr 6 Mo 35 Yr 9 Mo	10 6 Yr 7 Mo 13 Yr 9 Mo	168 7 Yr 2 Mo 21 Yr 9 Mo	19 7 Yr 9 Mo 19 Yr 9 Mo	249 5 Yr 8 Mo 33 Yr 9 Mo	811 6 Yr 0 Mo 31 Yr 10 Mo	991 7 Yr 10 Mo 41 Yr 5 Mo	
RANGE OF SERVICE ON THIS CC Under 1 1 through 4 5 through 9 10 through 14 15 through 19 20 through 24 25 through 29 30 through 24 35 through 39 40 through 44 over 45	DURT IN YEARS: 1 4 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 3 1 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	7 41 17 9 4 1 0 0 0 0 0	18 114 127 62 50 5 7 1 1 0 0	0 4 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	7 51 75 18 14 3 0 0 0 0 0	0 75 5 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2 117 99 18 9 3 0 1 0 0 0	2 272 451 40 22 16 4 4 4 0 0 0	22 373 321 152 76 31 11 3 1 1 0	
FIRST ASSUMED OFFICE BY: Number assumed office data Appointment Election	9 4 (44%) 0 5 (56%) 9 (79 36 (46%) 13 (54%)	385 189 (49%) 196 (51%)	10 5 (50%) 5 (50%)	168 71 (42%) 97 (58%)	19 10 (53%) 9 (47%)	252 48 (19%) 204 (81%)	807 117 (14%) 690 (86%)	1,077 1,071 (99%) 6 (1%)	
EDUCATION: Number with education data	9	9	78	383	10	166	19	211	743	880	
HIGH SCHOOL: Attended Graduated	Ξ	Ξ	Ξ	=	=	=	=	_	724 (97%) 667 (90%)	855 (97%) 814 (93%)	
			3 (100%) 5 (96%)	383 (100%) 367 (96%)	10 (100%) 10 (100%)	165 (99%) 156 (95%)	19 (100%) 18 (95%)	199 (94%) 148 (70%)	423 (57%) 219 (29%)	654 (74%) 535 (61%)	
			3 (100%) 3 (100%)	383 (100%) 380 (99%)	10 (100%) 10 (100%)	164 (99%) 162 (98%)	19 (100%) 19 (100%)	37 (18%) 35 (17%)	60 (8%) 53 (7%)	425 (48%) 417 (47%)	
LICENSED TO PRACTICE LAW: Number licensed Mean year licensed	9 (100%) 9 (1972	(100%) 79 1970	9 (100%) 1969	385 (100%) 1972	10 (100%) 1974	171 (100%) 1975	19 (100%) 1969	33 (13%) 1977	46 (5%) 1973	418 (36%) 1975	
RANGE OF YEAR LICENSED: Before 1930 1930 through 1934 1935 through 1934 1946 through 1944 1945 through 1944 1950 through 1954 1955 through 1954 1966 through 1969 1970 through 1974 1975 through 1974 1975 through 1974 Since 1985	0 0 0 1 2 2 3 0 1	0 0 1 1 1 1 2 2 1 1	0 0 1 5 10 8 10 14 17 14 0	0 0 0 4 13 19 26 55 94 95 60 16	0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 2 2 2	0 0 0 1 2 9 9 3 13 14 28 37 41 22	0 0 0 2 2 3 0 6 4 1 1	0 0 0 1 1 1 3 3 9 8 8 7	0 1 0 2 2 1 7 9 6 12 12	0 1 1 3 6 17 25 46 63 97 83 75	
ORIGINALLY CAME TO THIS COI Judge of lower court Attorney-private practice Legislative service Other governmental service	8 (89%) 2 1 (11%) 5 0 (0%) 0	(56%) 4 (0%)	24 (30%) 14 (56%) 0 (0%) 5 (6%)	_ _ _ _	_ _ _		- - - -			 	
PREVIOUS EXPERIENCE: Prosecutor Attorney-private practice Judge of lower court County commissioner	8 (89%) 7	(78%) 6	22 (28%) 68 (86%) 26 (33%) —	192 (50%) 340 (88%) 103 (27%) —	8 (80%) 8 (80%) 2 (20%) —	81 (47%) 148 (87%) 42 (25%) —	6 (32%) 18 (95%) 5 (26%) —	12 (5%) 27 (11%) 18 (7%) 19 (7%)	=	 	
MAYOR SERVING AS JUDGE:	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	26 (2%)	

*Some cities have more than one judge, and 39 persons serve as judge of two or more municipal courts in separate cities.