Affirmed and Opinion filed October 28, 1999.



In The

Fourteenth Court of Appeals

NO. 14-98-00737-CR

CURTIS SHEARD, Appellant

V.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee

On Appeal from the 337th District Court Harris County, Texas Trial Court Cause No. 676,390

ΟΡΙΝΙΟΝ

Curtis Sheard (Appellant) was indicted for the felony offense of possession of a controlled substance. His indictment included two enhancement paragraphs because of previous felony convictions. Appellant pleaded guilty and the trial court placed him on ten years' deferred adjudication. Later, based upon allegations that Appellant violated the terms of his community supervision, the State filed a motion to revoke probation and adjudicate guilt. The trial court found Appellant guilty and sentenced him to twenty-five years' confinement in the Institutional Division of the Texas Department of Criminal Justice. Appellant gave timely notice of appeal.

Appellant's appointed appellate counsel filed a brief in which he concludes that the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. The brief meets the requirements of *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396, 18 L.Ed.2d 493 (1967), by presenting a professional evaluation of the record demonstrating why there are no arguable grounds to be advanced. *See High v. State*, 573 S.W.2d 807, 809 (Tex. Crim. App. 1978).

A copy of counsel's brief was delivered to appellant. Appellant was advised of the right to examine the appellate record and to file a *pro se* brief. As of this date, no *pro se* brief has been filed and the time permitted to file such a brief has expired.

We agree the appeal is wholly frivolous and without merit. Further, we find no reversible error in the record. A discussion of the brief would add nothing to the jurisprudence of the State.

Accordingly, the judgment of the trial court is affirmed.

PER CURIAM

Judgment rendered and Opinion filed October 28, 1999. Panel consists of Justices Yates, Fowler, and Frost. Do not publish — TEX. R. APP. P. 47.3(b).